# **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

# STATE OF STA

By (date) May 23, 2018

Assistance for persons with disabilities: Contact Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer

PROPOSED RULE MAKING

**CR-102 (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: April 04, 2018

TIME: 9:02 AM

WSR 18-08-083

| Agency: Employment  | Security Dep   | partment   |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| □ Original Notice   |  |  |  |
| ☐ Supplemental Noti   | ce to WSR  |  |  |
| ☐ Continuance of WS   | SR   |  |  |
|   | ment of Inqu   | uiry was filed as WSR <u>17-23-13</u>  | <u>1</u> ; or  |
| ☐ Expedited Rule Ma   | kingPropo  | sed notice was filed as WSR _  | ; or   |
| ☐ Proposal is exemp   | t under RC\  | N 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1);  | or   |
| ☐ Proposal is exemp   | t under RC\  | N  |  |
| WAC 192-510 Assessi   | ng and colled on Election of E | n, withdrawal, and cancellation of of coverage for federally recogn I the department determine the version of the department assess the size affect liability of the department assess the size re employer premium payments "localization" and how does it after the requirements to be eligible agreements | ized tribes. rages earned and hours worked for self-employed ity for premiums and eligibility for small business of new employers? due? ect conditional waivers? for a conditional premium waiver? |
|   |  | to collective bargaining agreeme   | nts  |
| <ul><li>WAC 192-530-</li><li>WAC 192-530-</li><li>WAC 192-530-</li><li>WAC 192-530-</li></ul> | -010 What ai<br>-020 Volunta<br>-030 Volunta<br>-040 Volunta<br>-050 Avoidin   | re the employer application requiry plans – Employer plan require ry plans – Employee eligibility cr ry plans – Notice requirements ug a duplication of benefits under appens at the end of a voluntary  | ments.<br>teria.<br>nder RCW 50A.04.075.<br>state and voluntary plans.   |
| Hearing location(s):  |  |  |  |
| Date:   | Time:  | Location: (be specific)  | Comment:   |
| May 23, 2018  | 9:00am   | Lacey WA 98503   | Meeting will be the in Park Place conference room.   |
| -   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | 18 (Note: This is <b>NOT</b> the <b>effect</b>   | ve date)   |
| Submit written comm   | ents to:   |  |  |
| Name: Christina Streul  | i  |  |  |
|   | •  | partment PO Box 9046, Olympi   | a WA 98507-9046  |
| Email: cstreuli@esd.wa  | a.gov  |  |  |

Other: Online portal: https://www.peakdemocracy.com/portals/289/forum\_home?phase=open

| Phone: (360) 902-<br>Fax:                            | -9354                   |   |   |
|--|-------------------------|---|---|
| гах.<br>TTY: 711                                     |                         |   |   |
| Email: TEckstein@                                    | @esd.wa.gov             |   |   |
| Other:   | -                       |   |   |
| By (date) May 21,                                    |                         |   |   |
| define Paid Family                                   | y and Medical Leave req | ated effects, including any changes in existing rules: Tuirements for premium assessment and collection, election isinesses with existing collective bargaining agreements. |   |
|  |                         | s will assist in meeting the requirements to implement the con employers by January 1, 2019, as mandated by Title 50  |   |
|  |                         |   |   |
| Statutory author                                     | ity for adoption: RCW 5 | OA.04.215   |   |
|  |                         | 04.010, 50A.04.105, 50A.04.110, 50A.04.115, 50A.04.120, .04.610, 50A.04.615, 50A.04.620, 50A.04.650, and 50A.04   |   |
| Is rule necessary                                    |                         |   |   |
| Federal Lav  |                         |   | ☐ Yes ☒ No  |
| Federal Court Decision?                              |                         |   | ☐ Yes ⊠ No  |
| State Court  |                         |   | ☐ Yes ☒ No  |
| If yes, CITATION:                                    |                         | s, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enfo   | reement and fiscal  |
| matters:   |                         |   |   |
| Name of propone<br>Medical Leave Div                 |                         | ion) Employment Security Department, Paid Family and  | <ul><li>□ Private</li><li>□ Public</li><li>☑ Governmental</li></ul> |
| Name of agency                                       | personnel responsible   | for:  |   |
|  | Name                    | Office Location   | Phone   |
| Drafting:  | Christina Streuli       | Lacey, WA   | 360-791-6710  |
| Implementation:                                      | Matt Buelow             | Lacey, WA   | 360-742-7311  |
| Enforcement:   | Matt Buelow             | Lacey, WA   | 360-742-7311  |
| Is a school distri<br>If yes, insert state           |                         | ent required under RCW 28A.305.135?   | □ Yes ⊠ No  |
| Name:<br>Address<br>Phone:<br>Fax:<br>TTY:<br>Email: |                         | nool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:  |   |
| Other:   |                         |   |   |

|  | enefit analysis required under RCW 3   |                  |   |  |
|--|--|------------------|---|--|
|  | ☑ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:                |                  |   |  |
|  | ame: Christina Streuli   |                  | 0. Ohmania - WA 00507-0040                                      |  |
|  | ddress: Employment Security Departme<br>hone: 360-791-6710                               | ent PO Box 904   | 6 Olympia, WA 98507-9046  |  |
|  | ax:  |                  |   |  |
|  | TY: Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer, (   | 360) 902-9354.   | 711. TEckstein@esd.wa.gov                                       |  |
|  | mail: cstreuli@esd.wa.gov  | .,               | ,   |  |
|  | ther:  |                  |   |  |
| ☐ No:  | Please explain:  |                  |   |  |
| Regulatory   | Fairness Act Cost Considerations fo  | r a Small Busi   | ness Economic Impact Statement:                                 |  |
|  | oposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may</b><br>85 RCW). Please check the box for any |                  | m requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see nption(s):   |  |
| ☐ This rule  | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is  | exempt under     | RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being                 |  |
| •  | , ,  |                  | ulations. Please cite the specific federal statute or           |  |
|  | nis rule is being adopted to conform or c  | omply with, and  | describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not       |  |
| adopted. Citation and  | d description:   |                  |   |  |
|  | •  | exempt becau     | se the agency has completed the pilot rule process              |  |
| defined by F   | RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of  | of this proposed | rule.   |  |
|  |  | s exempt under   | the provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was               |  |
|  | a referendum.  | _                |   |  |
| ☐ This rule  | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is  | s exempt under   | RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:                         |  |
|  | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)   |                  | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)  |  |
|  | (Internal government operations)   |                  | (Dictated by statute)   |  |
|  | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)   |                  | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)  |  |
|  | (Incorporation by reference)   |                  | (Set or adjust fees)  |  |
|  | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)   |                  | RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)  |  |
|  | (Correct or clarify language)  |                  | ((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process               |  |
|  |  |                  | requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit) |  |
|  | e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is  | exempt under     | RCW 34.05.328(5)(c)(ii) and 34.05.328(5)(c)(iii)(C).            |  |
|  |  |                  | reates an exemption for interpretive rules. This exemption      |  |
|  | ortions of the proposal. RCW 34.05.328 ortions of the proposal do not meet these         |                  | lines conditions which must be met for a rule to require        |  |
| alialysis. FC  |  |                  | E NO EVENDTION ADDITIES   |  |
| 16 (1  |  |                  | F NO EXEMPTION APPLIES  |  |
| if the propo   | sed rule is <b>not exempt</b> , does it impose r   | more-tnan-mino   | r costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?         |  |
| □ No   | Briefly summarize the agency's analys  | is showing how   | costs were calculated   |  |
| ⊠ Yes  | Calculations show the rule proposal lik  | elv imnoses mo   | ore-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business         |  |
| economic impact statement is required. Insert statement here:                                      |  |                  |   |  |
| Small Business Economic Impact Statement Rules implementing Title 50A RCW – The Family and Medical |  |                  |   |  |
| April 4, 2   | 018  | Leave Progra     | ım  |  |
|  |  | Chapter 102      | E10 E20 E20 WAC   |  |

# 1. Provide a brief description of the requirements of the proposed rules

In 2017, the Washington State Legislature passed Substitute Senate Bill 5975 relating to paid family and medical leave. Substitute Senate Bill 5975 was codified as Title 50A RCW.

Title 50A RCW creates a statewide paid family and medical leave insurance program that provides for at least partial wage replacement when a qualified employee takes leave for an approved reason related to family or medical leave.

The legislature requires the state to develop rules implementing the program.

These rules are being developed by the Employment Security Department and will be filed in multiple phases. This filing comprises rules developed in phase one, which covers regulations related to voluntary plans, collective bargaining agreements, and premium assessment.

The rules in phase one provide requirements to which employers with an approved voluntary plan must adhere in order to maintain the integrity of the overall program. The rules contain regulations around communication of necessary information between the state and voluntary plan employers, clearer direction and clarification around certain aspects of the law pertaining to voluntary plans, benefit duplication prevention, and voluntary plan termination determinations.

The rules also lay out eligibility requirements around collective bargaining agreements in effect prior to October 19, 2017, and the responsibilities of employers with respect to certain collective bargaining units who might be exempt under the law.

There is also clarification around premium assessment, with emphasis on when and how an employer is assessed.

### 2. Costs to businesses to comply with the proposed rules

The majority of phase one rules do not require a calculation of cost for compliance. The following rules do require this analysis:

WAC 192-530-010: What are the employer application requirements for voluntary plans?<sup>2</sup>

| <b>Total Estimated Cost to Employee Benefits</b> | \$11,598,460.66 |
|--|-----------------|
| <b>Total Estimated Opportunity Costs</b>         | \$1,412,650.93  |
|  |                 |
| Total Estimated Cost                             | \$13,011,111.59 |

WAC 192-530-030: Voluntary plans – employee eligibility criteria<sup>3</sup>

|   | 5 /            |
|---|----------------|
| <b>Total Estimated Cost to Verification</b> | \$730,067.71   |
| Total Estimated Cost to Reporting           | \$1,588,573.96 |
|   |                |
| Total Estimated Cost                        | \$2,318,641.67 |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for a complete list of rules that do and do not require cost analysis in the Small Business Economic Impact Study or the Significance Analysis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for full details on the costs imposed on businesses for complying with WAC 192-530-010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for full details on the costs imposed on businesses for complying with WAC 192-530-030

## 3. Impact on sales or revenue

Any impact on sales or revenue is assumed to be a result of the passage of SSB 5975 by the state legislature rather than the result of agency rulemaking pertaining to its implementation.

# 4. Cost of compliance for small businesses vs. ten percent of largest businesses

WAC 192-530-010: What are the employer application requirements for voluntary plans? Analysis has revealed no disproportionate impact on small businesses for the establishment of a quarterly schedule for voluntary plan effective dates.<sup>4</sup>

WAC 192-530-030: Voluntary plans – employee eligibility criteria

Analysis has revealed that there may be a disproportionate impact on small businesses for the establishment of a requirement to notify the department of an employee's eligibility for a voluntary plan who was previously covered by the state plan.

Analysis has revealed that there may be a disproportionate impact on small businesses for the establishment of a requirement requiring small business owners and/or staff to obtain employee data to ensure compliance with the law for a voluntary plan.

## 5. Steps taken to reduce costs on small businesses

RCW 19.85.030(2) lists several options for agencies to consider when attempting to reduce the impact of proposed rules on small businesses. These options were considered by agency staff and ultimately deemed unfeasible based on the nature of the rules in question.

Since all elements of WAC 192-530-010 and WAC 192-530-030 are dependent on the employer or self-employed individual opting in to a non-required component of the law, no specific steps were taken to reduce costs on small businesses. These rules have been deemed necessary by the department to ensure compliance with statutory requirements.

However, the statute, itself does allow opportunities for businesses that employ 150 or fewer employees to receive grants from the state to assist with monetary losses attributable to certain personnel decisions made when an employee takes leave under Title 50A RCW.

#### 6. How did the agency involve small businesses?

As part of its rulemaking process, the Employment Security Department created an Advisory Committee consisting of advocates for both employer and employee interests. The team of advocates for employer interests represents several businesses in the state, including small businesses. These advocates were integral in the development of both the law and the rules governing it.

In addition, several public meetings were held prior to filing the Notice of Proposed Rules. Two informal "listening sessions" were held where any member of the public, including small businesses owners and stakeholders, could voice their opinions on what should be covered by rule in each phase. The department also hosted two "pre-102 meetings" where representatives of the department presented drafts of rules and opened the floor for public comment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See chapter 5 of the Significance Analysis for full details on the costs imposed on businesses for complying with WAC 192-530-010 Page 5 of 7

All stakeholders, including small business representatives, were also allowed to post comments in response to agency draft rules online through a civic engagement portal.

### 7. List of industries affected

Title 50A RCW generally applies to all employers in Washington State, apart from the federal government.

| 2-digit NAICS | Industry sectors                             | Total Establishments | Total Employment |
|---------------|--|----------------------|------------------|
| Total         | Total  | 243,084              | 3,225,703        |
| 11            | Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting   | 7,415                | 89,295           |
| 21            | Mining                                       | 172                  | 2,350            |
| 22            | Utilities                                    | 591                  | 18,747           |
| 23            | Construction                                 | 25,033               | 180,526          |
| 31, 32, 33    | Manufacturing                                | 7,698                | 293,807          |
| 42            | Wholesale trade                              | 14,199               | 130,050          |
| 44, 45        | Retail trade                                 | 20,550               | 368,567          |
| 48, 49        | Transportation and warehousing               | 5,633                | 124,044          |
| 51            | Information                                  | 4,441                | 128,611          |
| 52            | Finance and insurance                        | 8,885                | 93,768           |
| 53            | Real estate and rental and leasing           | 8,344                | 51,941           |
| 54            | Professional and technical services          | 25,655               | 199,303          |
| 55            | Management of companies and enterprises      | 716                  | 43,711           |
| 56            | Administrative and waste services            | 12,502               | 161,480          |
| 61            | Educational services                         | 3,843                | 283,651          |
| 62            | Healthcare and social assistance             | 55,341               | 460,623          |
| 71            | Arts, entertainment and recreation           | 3,104                | 70,512           |
| 72            | Accommodation and food services              | 17,094               | 267,382          |
| 81            | Other services, except public administration | 19,739               | 96,565           |
| 92            | Public administration                        | 2,129                | 160,770          |

Source: Employment Security Department

# 8. Number of jobs lost/created

Any jobs lost or created are assumed to be a result of the passage of SSB 5975 by the state legislature rather than the result of agency rulemaking pertaining to its implementation.

The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:

Name: Christina Streuli

Address: Employment Security Department PO Box 9046, Olympia WA 98507-9046

Phone: 360-791-6710

Fax:

TTY: Teresa Eckstein, State EO Officer, (360) 902-9354, 711, TEckstein@esd.wa.gov

Email: cstreuli@esd.wa.gov

Other:

|                            | Signature:   |
|----------------------------|--|
| <b>Date:</b> April 4, 2018 | $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ $\bigcirc$ |
| Name: Dale Peinecke        | / <b>, Y</b> ' / <b>)</b> / <b>Y</b> )                 |
| Title: Commissioner        | Michael  |