## **EXPEDITED RULE MAKING**



rulemaking will officially repeal the rule.

## **CR-105 (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.353)

## **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 09, 2019

TIME: 9:53 AM

WSR 19-21-043

|  | ment Security Departme           |   |                                    |
|--|----------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Title of rule and  | other identifying infor          | mation: (describe subject) Leave of Absence – W   | /AC 192-170-080(1)(a).             |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
| Durnaca of the n   | ranacal and its antici           | pated effects, including any changes in existin   | or rules: \\\\\C 102 170 090(1)(s) |
|  |                                  | mmissioner of the Employment Security Departme  |                                    |
| Sec. Comm'r Dec  | c.2d 971 (2011). In that         | decision, the Commissioner determined the rule v  | was in "direct conflict" with RCW  |
| 50.04.310. Conseremain in effect.  | equently, the Departme           | nt is repealing WAC 192-170-080(1)(a). The rem  | ainder of WAC 192-170-080 will     |
| remain in eneci.   |                                  |   |                                    |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
| Reasons suppor<br>invalid rule.  | ting proposal: WAC 1             | 92-170-080(1)(a) should be repealed so the public   | c does not mistakenly rely on an   |
| iiivaliu tule.   |                                  |   |                                    |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
|  |                                  | 50.12.010 and RCW 50.12.040 provide general r   | ulemaking authority to the         |
| Employment Secu  | urity Department, includ         | ling the authority to repeal rules.   |                                    |
| Statute being im   | plemented: RCW 50.0              | 4.310(1)  |                                    |
|  |                                  |   |                                    |
| Is rule necessary  | y because of a:                  |   |                                    |
| Federal Law?   |                                  |   | □ Yes □ No                         |
| Federal Court Decision?  |                                  |   | □ Yes □ No                         |
| State Court Decision?  |                                  |   |                                    |
| If yes, CITATION:  | : <i>In re Ausburn</i> , Empl. S | Sec. Comm'r Dec.2d 971 (2011)   |                                    |
| Name of proponent: (person or organization) Employment Security Department |                                  |   | ☐ Private                          |
|  |                                  |   | ☐ Public                           |
|  |                                  |   | ⊠ Governmental                     |
| Name of agency   | personnel responsible            | e for:  |                                    |
|  | Name                             | Office Location   | Phone                              |
| Drafting:  | Scott Michael                    | Olympia, WA   | 360-890-3448                       |
| Implementation:  | Julie Lord                       | Olympia, WA   | 360-902-9579                       |
| Enforcement:   | Julie Lord                       | Olympia, WA   | 360-902-9579                       |
|  |                                  | ns, if any, as to statutory language, implement artment already operates as if WAC 192-170-080( |                                    |

| Expedited Adoption - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file this notice:  |                                       |  |  |  |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| ☐ Relates only to internal governmental operations that are not subject to violation by a person;   |                                       |  |  |  |
| □ Adopts or incorporates by reference without material change federal statutes or regulations, Washington state statutes, rules of other Washington state agencies, shoreline master programs other than those programs governing shorelines of statewide significance, or, as referenced by Washington state law, national consensus codes that generally establish indust standards, if the material adopted or incorporated regulates the same subject matter and conduct as the adopting or incorporating rule; |                                       |  |  |  |
| ☐ Corrects typographical errors, make address or name changes, or clarify language of a rule without changing its effect;   |                                       |  |  |  |
| ☐ Content is explicitly and specifically dictated by statute;   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>☐ Have been the subject of negotiated rule making, pilot rule participation by interested parties before the development of t</li> <li>☐ Is being amended after a review under RCW 34.05.328.</li> </ul>   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |  |  |  |
| Expedited Repeal - Which of the following criteria was used by the agency to file notice:   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>□ The statute on which the rule is based has been repealed and has not been replaced by another statute providing statutory authority for the rule;</li> <li>□ The statute on which the rule is based has been declared unconstitutional by a court with jurisdiction, there is a final judgment, and no statute has been enacted to replace the unconstitutional statute;</li> <li>□ The rule is no longer necessary because of changed circumstances; or</li> </ul>                                      |                                       |  |  |  |
| Other rules of the agency or of another agency govern the same activity as the rule, making the rule redundant.   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Explanation of the reason the agency believes the expedited rule-making process is appropriate pursuant to RCW 34.05.353(4): <i>In re Ausburn</i> , Empl. Sec. Comm'r Dec.2d 971 (2011) determined WAC 192-170-080(1)(a) was in direct conflict with RCW 50.04.310(1) and therefore, WAC 192-170-080(1)(a) is invalid.  |                                       |  |  |  |
| NOTICE  |                                       |  |  |  |
| THIS RULE IS BEING PROPOSED UNDER AN EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS THAT WILL ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR THE AGENCY TO HOLD PUBLIC HEARINGS, PREPARE A SMALL BUSINESS ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT, OR PROVIDE RESPONSES TO THE CRITERIA FOR A SIGNIFICANT LEGISLATIVE RULE. IF YOU OBJECT TO THIS USE OF THE EXPEDITED RULE-MAKING PROCESS, YOU MUST EXPRESS YOUR OBJECTIONS IN WRITING AND THEY MUST BE SENT TO   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Name: Joshua Dye<br>Agency: Employment Security Department<br>Address: P.O. Box 9046, Olympia, WA 98507-9046<br>Phone: 360-8903472  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Fax: 844-652-7096   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Email: rules@esd.wa.gov   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Other: TTD Relay 711  |                                       |  |  |  |
| AND RECEIVED BY (date) December 23, 2019  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Date: October 9, 2019   | Signature:                            |  |  |  |
| Name: Dan Zeitlin   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Title: Employment System Policy Director  |                                       |  |  |  |